Haskell Unit 2: Lists

Antoni Diller

26 July 2011

Introduction

The most important datatype in a functional language is the *list*. A list is a linearly ordered collection of elements. All elements of a list must be of the same type. Some examples:

[3, 7, 5, 88] :: [Int] ['t', 'i', 'm', 'e'] :: [Char] "time" :: [Char] [[2, 3], [4, 8, 17]] :: [[Int]]

Haskell provides many list operators. Some are:

:	binary infix	sticks an element at the front of a list
head	unary prefix	extracts the first element of a non-empty list
tail	unary prefix	returns the tail of a non-empty list
length	unary prefix	returns the length of a list
!!	binary infix	extracts an element of a list

A function to sum the elements of a list of integers can be defined like this:

```
sum :: Integral a => [a] -> [a]
sum ys
| ys == [] = 0
| otherwise = head ys + sum (tail ys)
```

It is better, however, to use pattern-matching thus:

sum :: Integral a => [a] -> [a] sum [] = 0 sum (y:ys) = y + sum ys

List addition and subtraction

Two useful binary infix functions on lists are ++ (list addition) and $\backslash \langle$ (list subtraction). List addition takes two lists as its arguments and sticks them together. List subtraction removes elements from a list, for example:

 [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] \\ [1, 4]
 is equivalent to
 [2, 3, 5]

 [1, 1, 1, 1] \\ [1, 4]
 is equivalent to
 [1, 1, 1]

 [1, 1, 1, 1] \\ [1, 1]
 is equivalent to
 [1, 1, 1]

List subtraction is not predefined in the version of Haskell used here, but it can be defined like this:

```
(\\) :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
[] \\ _ = []
xs \\ [] = xs
(x:xs) \\ (y:ys)
  | x == y = xs \\ ys
  | otherwise = (x : (xs \\ [y])) \\ ys
```

Local definition

Haskell supports local definitions, for example:

foo x | x > 0 = p + q | x <= 0 = p - qwhere $p = x^2 + 1$ $q = 3*x^3 - 5$

Local definitons obey Landin's offside rule:

The southeast quadrant that just contains the phrase's first symbol must contain the entire phrase, except possibly for bracketted subexpressions.

Programming style

The following two definitions of a leap year illustrate bad and good programming style:

In Haskell leap2 is considered more elegant than leap1.