Finding Maximum Cliques on a Quantum Annealer

Background on D-Wave

The D-Wave quantum annealer is a hardware realization of classical (thermal) simulated annealing, a wide-spread optimization technique which minimizes a function by proposing random moves to escape local minima:



On the D-Wave chip, qubits are connected in a graph structure allowing for pairwise interactions:

D-Wave minimizes a sum of linear and quadratic contributions weighted by given constants $a_i, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, called **Hamiltonian**:

$$f(q) = \sum_{i \in V} a_i q_i + \sum_{(i,j) \in E} a_{ij} q_i q_j$$

Ising: $q_i \in \{-1, +1\}$

Qubo: $q_i \in \{0, +1\}$

Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph. A clique is a subset $S \subseteq V$ forming a complete subgraph (any two vertices of *S* are connected by an edge in *G*). A maximal clique is a clique of maximal size.

Georg Hahn, Hristo Djidjev (PI), Guillaume Chapuis, Guillaume Rizk

Computer, Computational, and Statistical Sciences Division Los Alamos National Laboratory



Qubo for Maximum Clique

We use the equivalence of MC to maximum independent set problem: For a graph *H*, *S* is independent set if any two vertices $v, w \in S$ are not connected in *H*.

An independent set of $H = (V, \overline{E})$ is a clique in G = (V, E). Constrained minimization:

The equivalent formulation as uncontrained minimization (Qubo):

The Maximum Clique Problem

We consider *maximum clique* (MC), a classical NP-hard graph problem.

Applications: network analysis, bioinformatics, computational chemistry.

ISTINSEC: Efficient combinatorial optimization using quantum computing

Experiments: D-Wave vs SA-clique

Why SA-clique? SA-clique is considered the classical analogue of quantum annealing and thus the closest competitor to D-Wave.

Set-up: 500 anneals on D-Wave on (contracted) chimera graphs, record best solution, then lower cooling schedule of SA-clique until same solution is found.



Conclusions:

Set-up (left): 500 vertices, edge probability p from 0.1 to 0.4 in steps of 0.05 Set-up (right): graph sizes 3000 to 20000, fixed degree d, edge probability p = d/(|V| - 1)

► Random graphs: too small, optimized classical solvers faster, DW solutions of comparable quality ► No quantum advantage for general instances embeddable on DW

Special instances designed to fit DW can be magnitudes faster (closer to DW chimera topology=faster)

maximize $\sum x_i$ subject to $\sum x_i x_j = 0$

$$H = -A \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i + B \sum_{(i,j) \in \overline{E}} x_i x_j$$

with A = 1 and B = 2 (Lucas, 2014). Disadvantage: $O(N^2)$ quad. terms, limited D-Wave solubility.

D-Wave Solvers

D-Wave Inc. provide tools to submit Qubo/Ising problems to the annealer, to perform the annealing and to post-process the output:

► *Sapi*: "Solver API", highest level control, set annealing cycles or postprocessing, load pre-computed embeddings for complete 45 vertex graphs

> ▶ *QBsolv*: heuristic for instances \geq 1000 qubits, identifies signif. rows/columns of Hamiltonian, solves subproblem on D-Wave

Experiments: Small graphs with no special structure

Graph	Max. clique	Runtime [s]					
	size	Sapi	РРНа	QBsolv	fmc	pmc	
p=0.3	5	0.15	0.15	0.05	$8 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	
p=0.5	8	0.15	0.15	0.06	$3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	
p=0.7	13	0.15	0.15	0.04	0.002	8.10 ⁻⁵	
p=0.9	20	0.15	0.15	0.04	0.135	8.10^{-5}	

Set-up: 45 vertex graphs, random edges with probability *p*

Results: Every software solver returns correct solution on small random graphs fitting D-Wave's architecture.

Gurobi solves the dual problem (maximum independent set) thus leading to reversed graph densities and timings.

Main observations: *pmc* is an order of magnitude faster than all other methods, D-Wave yields constant time solutions but no quantum speed-up detectable.



Experiments: Chimera-like graphs

Motivation: So far no quantum advantage on small graphs. Need comparison on graphs larger than 45 vertices.

Set-up: Use chimera subgraphs generated by contracting edges which always fit the D-Wave topology.

[1] H. Djidjev et.al. (2016). *SIAM CSC* 2016, 1(1): 1-17. [2] X. Geng et.al. (2007). Inf Sciences, 177(22): 5064-5071. [3] Gurobi Optimization, Inc. (2015). Gurobi optimizer refernce manual. [4] M. Johnson et.al. (2011). *Nature*, 473: 194-198. [5] J. King et.al. (2015). *arXiv:1508.05087*, pages 1-29. [6] A. Lucas (2014). Frontiers in Physics, 2(5):1-27.

The poster design was adapted from the design of Felix Breuer: http://blog.felixbreuer.net/2010/10/24/poster.html



• LOS ALABORATORY NATIONAL LABORATORY FET 1943

► *QSage*: black-box solver for bitstrings of arbitrary size, tabu search enhanced with DW-generated samples

Classical Solvers

SA | Gurobi 0.15 102 0.37 38 0.19 33 0.28 2

We benchmark against the following classical approaches:

► *SA-Ising*: All-purpose simulated annealing.

► *SA-clique*: Simulated annealing specifically for cliques of size m (Geng et al., 2007).

► Fast Max-Clique Finder *(fmc, pmc)*: Exact and heuristic efficient search algorithms for max. cliques in sparse graphs.

Post-processing heuristics alone (PPHa): D-Wave's serverside post-processing step applied to random initial solution.

► *Gurobi*: Mathematical programming solver for linear, mixed-integer and quadratic programs (Gurobi Optimization Inc., 2015). Applied to the dual of maximum clique (maximum independent set) on the complement graph.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge and appreciate the support provided for this work by the Los Alamos National Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program (LDRD). They thank Dr Denny Dahl for his help while working on D-Wave 2X.

